▶ JS 44 (Rev. 12/07)		CIVIL (	COVI	ER SHEET	•												
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the by local rules of court. This form, the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTI	approved by the Judicial Co	onference of the Un	ited State:	nent the filing and set s in September 1974,	rvice of pl	leadings o	or other papers as required use of the Clerk of C	uired by la Court for th	w, except a	as provided of initiating							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS CENTURY INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY COMPANY OF NORTH A	COMPANY, as succe , as successor to INSI	essor to CCI		DEFENDANTS MUNICH REINSURANCE AMERICA, INC., as successor to AMERICAN RE-INSURANCE COMPANY  County of Residence of First Listed Defendant MERCER													
(b) County of Residence o		LADELPHIA SES)		NOTE: IN LA	(IN	N U.S. PI DEMNAT	Defendant MERC LAINTIFF CASES OF TION CASES, USE THE	ONLY)	ON OF THE								
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name Ronald P. Schiller Jennifer L. Corry	e, Address, and Telephone	Number)		Attorneys (If Kno	wn)												
DLA Piper LLP (US) One Liberty Place 1650 Market St., Suite 490 Philadelphia, PA 19103	0																
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)	III. C	CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF													
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not	a Party)	Cit	izen of This State	I		Incorporated or Principa of Business In This		⊠ 4	4							
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of	Parties in Item III)	Cit	izen of Another State	□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated and Princi of Business In And	-	□ 5	⊠ 5							
			Cit	izen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<u></u> 3	3	Foreign Nation		☐ 6	☐ 6 ————							
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only	PRTS		FORFEITURE/PE	NIAT TO	l D	ANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES									
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations  444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other  440 Other Civil Rights	550 Civil Right	ury— actice ury— bility brisonal act  PERTY  inding anal amage bility  TTIONS  Vacate  s:  lty & Other s	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & 625 Drug Related of Property 2 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other  LABOR  710 Fair Labor Sta Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. & Disclosure 740 Railway Labor 790 Other Labor I 791 Empl. Ret. In Security Act  IMMIGRAT  462 Naturalization 463 Habeas Corpu Alien Detain 465 Other Immigra Actions	andards Relations Reporting Act or Acttigation c.  ION Application us - ee eration	PROI 820 G 830 F 840 T 861 F 862 F 863 F 864 S 865 F 870 R 871	Appeal 28 USC 158 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS Copyrights Patent Trademark  IAL SECURITY HIA (1395ff) Black Lung (923) DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) SSID Title XVI RSI (405(g))  ERAL TAX SUITS Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	410 Ant 430 Bar 450 Cor 460 Dep 470 Rac Cor 480 Cor 480 Cor 480 Cor 850 Sec Ex 875 Cu 875 Cu 891 Ag 892 Ecc 893 En 894 En 895 Fre Acc 900 App Un to 950 Co	aks and Bank nmerce portation eketeer Influe trupt Organiz nsumer Credi ole/Sat TV ective Servic carrities/Come change stomer Chall USC 3410 per Statutory pricultural Ac conomic Stabi vironmental i ergy Allocati et	enced and zations it the modities/ lenge Actions the modities/ lenge Actions the modities it action Act Matters ion Act formation Determination access the modities in the mod							
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 Rem	: Court Appel	late Court	4 Reinsta Reope	ated or 5 anothered (spec	cify)	at [	6 Multidistrict Litigation	7.	Appeal to D Judge from Magistrate Judgment								
			you are	filing (Do not cite	jurisdicti	ional sta	tutes unless diversit	iy):									
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of BREACH OF A UNDER 28 U.S	REINSURAN					GES AND DEC										
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER F.R.C.P. 2		ION	<b>DEMAND \$2,258</b>	,696.00 		CHECK YES JURY DEM		manded in Yes								
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY		JUDGE		0		DOCK	ET NUMBER	<del></del>	<u> </u>								
DATE 12/5/0	x (	SIGNATURE	OF ATAO	RNEY OF RECORD					American Le	egalNet. Inc.							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			MAG. JUDGE			Workflow.com							

# **SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE E.	ASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
CENTURY INDEMNITY COMPANY, as successor to INSURANCE COMPANY, as successor to INSURANC COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA v.	CCI CIVIL ACTION NO.
MUNICH REINSURANCE AMERICA, INC., as succe AMERICAN RE-INSURANCE COMPANY	TO: (NAME AND ADDRESS OF DEFENDANT)
	Munich Reinsurance America Corp 555 College Road East Princeton, NJ 08543
YOU ARE HEREBY SUM	MONED and required to serve upon
Plaintiff's Attorney (Name and Address) Ronald P. Schiller DLA Piper LLP (US) 1650 Market St., Suite 4900 Philadelphia, PA 19103-7300	
an answer to the complaint which is herewith served up you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so relief demanded in the complaint.	oon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon , judgment by default will be taken against you for the
Michael E. Kunz, Clerk of Court	Date:
(By) Deputy Clerk	

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## **CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	E-Mail Address							
215-656-3330	215-656-3300	ronald.schiller@dlapiper.com								
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for								
December 5, 2008	Ronald P. Schiller	Century Indemnity Company								
(f) Standard Mana gement –	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)							
commonly referred to as	ases that do not fall into track complex and that need special de of this form for a detailed of	l or intense management by	( )							
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal injury o	or property damage from	( )							
(c) Arbitration – Cases require	red to be designated for arbitr	ation under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )							
	equesting review of a decision ying plaintiff Social Security l		( )							
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	rought under 28 U.S.C. §2241	1 through §2255.	( )							
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:								
plaintiff shall complete a cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other pa	se Management Track Designer a copy on all defendants. (Sevent that a defendant does shall, with its first appearance	Reduction Plan of this court, cournation Form in all civil cases at the ee § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the not agree with the plaintiff regardite, submit to the clerk of court and suck designation form specifying the	time of reverse ng said erve on							
Munich Reinsurance America successor to American Re-Ins		NO.								
Century Indemnity Company CCI Insurance Company, as s Insurance Company of North v.	NO.									
Century Indemnity Company	as successor to	CIVIL ACTION								

American LegalNet, Inc. www.USCourtForms.com

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA - DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: P.O. Box 1000, 436 Walnut St., Philadelphia, PA 19106 Address of Defendant: 555 College Road East, Princeton, NJ 08543 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Pennsylvania (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporateparty with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ. P. 7.1 (a)) Yes No 🛛 Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Date Terminated: \_\_ Case Number: \_\_ Judge Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No No 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated Yes No 🛛 action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury ☐ FELA 3. Assault, Defamation 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury Antitrust 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Labor-Management Relations 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability — Asbestos 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Diversity Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) , counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. 41357 Attorney I.D.#

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT** EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

as successor COMPANY, INSURANCE NORTH AME	V. INSURANCE AMERICA, cessor to AMERICAN RE-	Civil Action No:							
	DISCLOSURE S	TATEMENT FORM							
Please check	k one box:								
	The nongovernmental corporate party,, in the above listed civil action does not have any parent corporation and publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock.								
		ate party,, has the following parent corporation(s) and at owns 10% or more of its stock:							
December 5,	, 2008 Dan	r. Sol							
Date		Signature Ronald P. Schiller							
	Се	ntury Indemnity Company, as successor to							

CCI Insurance Company, as successor to Counsel for: Insurance Company of North America

### Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1 Disclosure Statement

- (a) WHO MUST FILE; CONTENTS. A nongovernmental corporate party must file two copies of a disclosure statement that:
  - identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock; or
  - (2) states that there is no such corporation.
- (b) TIME TO FILE; SUPPLEMENTAL FILING. A party must:
  - (1) file the disclosure statement with its first appearance, pleading, petition, motion, response, or other request addressed to the court; and
  - (2) promptly file a supplemental statement if any required information changes.

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

as successo COMPANY,	NDEMNITY COMPANY, r to CCI INSURANCE as successor to E COMPANY OF ERICA V.	: :	Civil Action No:							
INC., as suc	INSURANCE AMERICA, cessor to AMERICAN RE- E COMPANY									
	DISCLOSURI	E STATEMENT FORI	М							
Please chec	k one box:									
	The nongovernmental corporate party,, in the above listed civil action does not have any parent corporation and publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock.									
	publicly held corporation(s	tion has the following	parent corporation(s) and nore of its stock:							
	ACE Limited									
December 5	, 2008	m f. Su								
Date		Signat Ronald P. S Century Indemnity Co CCI Insurance Comp Insurance Company	Schiller ompany, as successor to any, as successor to							

### Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1 Disclosure Statement

- (a) WHO MUST FILE; CONTENTS. A nongovernmental corporate party must file two copies of a disclosure statement that:
  - identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock; or
  - (2) states that there is no such corporation.
- (b) TIME TO FILE; SUPPLEMENTAL FILING. A party must:
  - (1) file the disclosure statement with its first appearance, pleading, petition, motion, response, or other request addressed to the court; and
  - (2) promptly file a supplemental statement if any required information changes.

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

X

CENTURY INDEMNITY COMPANY, as successor to CCI INSURANCE COMPANY, as successor to INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

-against-

MUNICH REINSURANCE AMERICA, INC., as successor to AMERICAN RE-INSURANCE COMPANY.

Defendant.																																		
		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_		X

Plaintiff Century Indemnity Company ("Century"), as successor to CCI Insurance Company ("CCI"), itself the successor to Insurance Company of North America ("INA"), by and through its undersigned attorneys, alleges for its Complaint against Defendant, American Re-Insurance Company, now known as Munich Reinsurance America, Inc. ("Munich Re") as follows:

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This action seeks damages and declaratory relief in connection with Defendant Munich Re's failure to pay certain amounts due under several contracts through which it reinsured Plaintiff. Under the reinsurance contracts at issue (the "Certificates"), Munich Re agreed to indemnify INA for payments made by INA pursuant to various insurance policies issued by INA to J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc. ("JMM") and a subsidiary, J-M A/C Pipe Corporation ("JMAC") (together, the "Insureds") in 1983 and 1984 (the "JMM Policies").
- 2. Although Plaintiff paid premiums to Munich Re in return for this reinsurance protection, and Plaintiff has paid claims under the JMM Policies which trigger the coverage of

the Certificates, Munich Re has wrongfully refused to pay the sums due and owing under the Certificates. Accordingly, Plaintiff brings this action to recover the damages to which it is entitled as a result of Munich Re's breaches of contract, and for a declaration that Munich Re must make all future payments owing under the Certificates as and when they are due.

#### THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Century is an insurance company domiciled and organized under the laws of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Century is the successor in interest to CCI Insurance Company, which is an insurance company domiciled and organized under the laws of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. CCI was the successor in interest to Insurance Company of North America, an insurance company domiciled and organized under the laws of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 4. Plaintiff is affiliated with the ACE group of companies and is an indirect subsidiary of ACE Limited, a publicly traded company. Resolute Management Inc., Mid-Atlantic Division ("Resolute") is the Attorney-in-Fact and Claims-Handling Agent for Plaintiff.
- 5. Defendant Munich Re is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business located in the State of New Jersey.

  Munich Re is licensed to do business, and is doing and transacting business, in Pennsylvania.

  Munich Re is the successor in interest to American Re-Insurance Company ("American Re"), which issued the Certificates to INA in 1983 and 1984.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this dispute pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because Plaintiff and Munich Re are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

EAST\42253436.2 -2-

- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Munich Re because Munich Re has transacted and continues to transact substantial business in Pennsylvania.
- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.

### **BACKGROUND**

- 9. Reinsurance is a type of insurance in which an insurer transfers to a reinsurer some or all of the risk that the insurer has assumed under one or more insurance policies. The reinsurer is paid a premium in accordance with the terms of the reinsurance contract. The original insurer is known as the "cedent" or "ceding insurer" and it is said to "cede" risk, and premium, to the reinsurer. In this case, Plaintiff, as cedent, transferred certain risks discussed below to Munich Re, as reinsurer.
- 10. The reinsurance that Munich Re provided to Plaintiff is referred to as "facultative reinsurance," which is a type of reinsurance coverage that applies to a single policy or risk and is negotiated on an individual basis.
- 11. Although not set forth in all reinsurance contracts, the "follow the fortunes" or "follow the settlements" doctrine is inherent in any reinsurance relationship. This doctrine requires payment by the reinsurer where the ceding insurer's payment to the original insured is in good faith and arguably encompassed within the terms of the underlying policy. Under this doctrine, the reinsurer is not permitted to second-guess the ceding insurer's determinations or decisions with respect to the underlying claims so long as they are made in good faith.
- 12. An "excess" insurance policy is referred to as such because it only begins to provide coverage to the insured once the "primary" policy issued to the insured is exhausted.
- 13. This dispute arises from Munich Re's facultative reinsurance of two general liability excess policies INA issued in 1983 and 1984.

EAST\42253436.2 -3-

#### **FACTS**

## The Underlying Insurance Contracts

- 14. In the early 1980s, JM and JMAC were engaged in the manufacture and distribution of pipes, some of which contained asbestos. The JMM Policies are a series of four primary and excess insurance policies, through INA provided insurance to the JMM and JMAC from January 1, 1983 to January 1, 1985.
- 15. For the 1983 policy year, INA issued two policies to the Insureds: a primary insurance policy (the "1983 Primary Policy") and an excess insurance policy (the "1983 Excess Policy").
- 16. The 1983 Primary Policy was in force from January 1, 1983 to January 1, 1984, and bore policy number GLP 82 85 05. It contains a \$1,000,000 per occurrence liability limit and a \$1,000,000 aggregate liability limit for products and completed operations. The 1983 Primary Policy is "guaranteed cost," meaning there is no deductible.
- The 1983 Excess Policy sat above the 1983 Primary Policy, and was in force from February 24, 1983 to January 1, 1984. It bore policy number XBC 153672, and contained a \$25,000,000 per occurrence liability limit and a \$25,000,000 aggregate liability limit for products and completed operations. It provided that INA had a duty to defend the Insureds against suits for personal injury or property damage once the limits of liability of the 1983 Primary Policy were exhausted.
- 18. For the 1984 policy year, INA again issued a primary insurance policy (the "1984 Primary Policy") and an excess insurance policy (the "1984 Excess Policy") to the Insureds.
- 19. The 1984 Primary Policy was in force from January 1, 1984 to January 1, 1985, and bore policy number ISG GO 319482-6. It contains a \$1,000,000 per occurrence limit and a

\$1,000,000 aggregate liability limit for products and completed operations. The 1984 Primary Policy also contains a \$250,000 per occurrence deductible.

20. The 1984 Excess Policy sat above the Primary Policy, and was in force from January 1, 1984 to January 1, 1985. It bore policy number XBC 54-0715, and contained a \$25,000,000 per occurrence liability limit and a \$25,000,000 aggregate liability limit for products and completed operations. It provided that INA had a duty to defend the Insureds against suits for personal injury or property damage once the limits of liability of the 1984 Primary Policy were exhausted.

#### The Reinsurance Contracts With American Re

- 21. American Re and INA entered into two facultative reinsurance agreements, under which American Re assumed a share of the risk under the 1983 and 1984 Excess Policies in exchange for payments of premium. Munich Re has assumed American Re's liability under the Certificates.
- The first relevant Certificate bears Certificate Number 3212985, and provides reinsurance protection for INA's exposure under the 1983 Excess Policy (the "1983 Certificate"). Like the 1983 Excess policy, the 1983 Certificate has an effective date of February 24, 1983, and an expiration date of January 1, 1984. Under the Certificate, Munich Re agreed to indemnify INA for 60% of the first \$5,000,000 of liability under the 1983 Excess Policy.
- 23. The second relevant Certificate bears Certificate Number 3766954, and provides reinsurance protection to INA's exposure under the 1984 Excess Policy (the "1984 Certificate"). Like the 1984 Excess Policy, the 1984 Certificate has an effective date of January 1, 1984, and an expiration date of January 1, 1985. Under the Certificate, Munich Re agreed to indemnify

EAST\42253436.2 -5-

INA for 60% of the first \$5,000,000 of liability under the 1984 Excess Policy, and 13.33% of liability between \$10,000,000 and \$25,000,000 under the 1984 Excess Policy.

24. Munich Re accepted premium payments from INA in exchange for its agreement to reinsure INA in accordance with the terms of the Certificates. INA paid Munich Re all premium due under the Certificates.

## The Underlying JMM/JMAC Claims and Billings to Munich Re

- 25. Hundreds of people, including former employees, their families, customers, and others who had allegedly been exposed to asbestos through JMM/JMAC, have asserted claims against JMM/JMAC, alleging injuries resulting from such exposure (the "JMM Claims").
- 26. In accordance with their obligations under the JMM Policies, Plaintiff has been defending the Insureds against the JMM Claims, settling some and litigating others.
- 27. Initially, loss and expense payments made by Plaintiff were allocated to the Primary Policies. As the Primary Policies were exhausted, Plaintiff began allocating payments to the Excess Policies.
- 28. Since it began defending, settling, and paying the JMM Claims, Plaintiff has provided Munich Re with status reports and updates on the matter, including payments made and allocations to the various policies.
- 29. As of today, Munch Re owes Plaintiff \$1,129,348 for claims due under the 1983 Certificate and \$1,129,348 for claims due under the 1984 Certificate, no part of which has been paid.

# COUNT 1 (Breach of Contract)

30. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 28.

EAST\42253436.2 -6-

- 31. Under the Certificates, Munich Re has an obligation and a duty to reimburse Plaintiff for its share of losses and loss expenses paid under the 1983 and 1984 Excess Policies.
- 32. By failing to pay its share of Plaintiff's losses and loss expenses, Munich Re has breached its obligation and duty indemnify Plaintiff as required by the Certificates.
- 33. As a result of Munich Re's breach of the Certificates, Plaintiff has suffered damages in the amount of at least \$2,258,696, plus interest.

# COUNT 2 (Declaratory Relief)

- 34. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 28.
- 35. Upon information and belief, Munich Re questions whether it is liable under the Certificates for the losses and loss expenses submitted to it by Plaintiff.
- 36. There is an actual controversy between Plaintiff and Munich Re as to their respective rights and liabilities under the Certificates.
- 37. Plaintiff is entitled to a declaration, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 2201, that the Certificates are in full force and effect and that Munich Re is obligated to make timely payment to Plaintiff in such amounts as have already become due and may become due in the future in accordance with the terms of the Certificates.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in its favor, and against the Defendant, as follows:

- A. A judgment that Munich Re has breached the Certificates;
- B. Damages in the total amount of at least \$2,258,696, plus interest;
- C. A declaration that Munich Re must reimburse Plaintiff for its share of current and future losses under the Certificates;

EAST\42253436.2 -7-

- D. Reasonable costs and fees incurred in bringing this action; and,
- E. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Dated: December 5, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald P. Schiller (Pa I.D. #41357)

Jennifer L. Corry (Pa. I.D. #87667)

DLA Piper LLP (US)

One Liberty Place

1650 Market St., Suite 4900

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 656-3330 (phone)

(215) 656-3301 (fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Century Indemnity Insurance Company, as successor to CCI Insurance Company, itself the successor to Insurance Company of North America